

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved  
OMB NO. 0704-0188

Public Reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comment regarding this burden estimates or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188,) Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave Blank)		2. REPORT DATE March 2001		3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final Report September 1995- December 2000	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Dataman Project- Information services for low-powered Wireless Mobile Clients				5. FUNDING NUMBERS Grant /Contract No. DAAH04-95-1-0596	
6. AUTHOR(S) Tomasz Imielinski (PI) B. R. Badrinath					
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Rutgers University 110 Frelinghuysen Road Piscataway, NJ 08854				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER EIN/TIN #226001086	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)  U. S. Army Research Office P.O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211				10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER  ARO Proposal No. P-34971-EL	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision, unless so designated by other documentation.					
12 a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT  Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.				12 b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)  The goal of the research effort in the DataMan Project is the development of novel wireless information services, location-dependent protocols such as geographic routing, and low energy protocols for wireless networks and devices. In each of the areas we have made progress and several results to report. First, the problem of efficient energy management and bandwidth utilization has been addressed using the concept of Meta-Channel designed for dissemination of information to wireless mobile users. Several protocols for low energy dissemination have been designed and demonstrated. Location dependent messaging has been made possible by the concept of Geographic Routing which has been designed and deployed to enable routing of messages to specific geographic areas for conveying location-dependent information. A complete user guide for geographic routing has been prepared. Finally, mechanisms for environment aware adaption in applications and protocols have been completed. Since, mobile users encounter widely varying network characteristics, there is a need for dynamic adaptation. To this end, we have designed a new family of environment-aware protocols.					
14. SUBJECT TERMS Wireless, mobility, low energy, broadcast algorithms, geographic routing, environment aware protocols.				15. NUMBER OF PAGES 7	
				16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OR REPORT <b>UNCLASSIFIED</b>	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION ON THIS PAGE <b>UNCLASSIFIED</b>	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT <b>UNCLASSIFIED</b>	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT  <b>UL</b>		

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

Standard Form 298 (Rev.2-89)  
Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18  
298-102

## GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING SF 298

The Report Documentation Page (RDP) is used for announcing and cataloging reports. It is important that this information be consistent with the rest of the report, particularly the cover and title page. Instructions for filling in each block of the form follow. It is important to ***stay within the lines*** to meet ***optical scanning requirements***.

**Block 1.** Agency Use Only (Leave blank)

**Block 2.** Report Date. Full publication date including day, month, and year, if available (e.g. 1 Jan 88). Must cite at least year.

**Block 3.** Type of Report and Dates Covered. State whether report is interim, final, etc. If applicable enter inclusive report dates (e.g. 10 Jun 87 - 30 Jun 88).

**Block 4.** Title and Subtitle. A title is taken from the part of the report that provides the most meaningful and complete information. When a report is prepared in more than one volume, repeat the primary title, and volume number, and include subtitle for the specific volume. On classified documents enter the title classification in parentheses.

**Block 5.** Funding Numbers. To include contract and grant numbers; may include program element number(s) project number(s), task number(s), and work unit number(s). Use the following labels:

<b>C</b> - Contract	<b>PR</b> - Project
<b>G</b> - Grant	<b>TA</b> - Task
<b>PE</b> - Program Element	<b>WU</b> - Work Unit Accession No.

**Block 6.** Author(s). Name(s) of person(s) responsible for writing the report, performing the research, or credited with the content of the report. If editor or compiler, this should follow the name(s).

**Block 7.** Performing Organization Name(s) and Address(es). Self-explanatory.

**Block 8.** Performing Organization Report Number. Enter the unique alphanumeric report number(s) assigned by the organization performing the report.

**Block 9.** Sponsoring/Monitoring Agency Name(s) and Address(es). Self-explanatory.

**Block 10.** Sponsoring/Monitoring Agency Report Number. (if known)

**Block 11.** Supplementary Notes. Enter information not included elsewhere such as; prepared in cooperation with....; Trans. of...; To be published in.... When a report is revised, include a statement whether the new report supersedes or supplements the older report.

**Block 12a.** Distribution/Availability Statement.

Denotes public availability or limitations. Cite any availability to the public. Enter additional limitations or special markings in all capitals (e.g. NORFON, REL, ITAR).

**DOD** - See DoDD 4230.25, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents."  
**DOE** - See authorities.  
**NASA** - See Handbook NHB 2200.2.  
**NTIS** - Leave blank.

**Block 12b.** Distribution Code.

**DOD** - Leave Blank  
**DOE** - Enter DOE distribution categories from the Standard Distribution for unclassified Scientific and Technical Reports  
**NASA** - Leave Blank.  
**NTIS** - Leave Blank.

**Block 13.** Abstract. Include a brief (*Maximum 200 words*) factual summary of the most significant information contained in the report.

**Block 14.** Subject Terms. Keywords or phrases identifying major subject in the report.

**Block 15.** Number of Pages. Enter the total number of pages.

**Block 16.** Price Code. Enter appropriate price code (NTIS *only*).

**Block 17. - 19.** Security Classifications. Self-explanatory. Enter U.S. Security Regulations (i.e., UNCLASSIFIED). If form contains classified information, stamp classification on the top and bottom of the page.

**Block 20.** Limitation of Abstract. This block must be completed to assign a limitation to the abstract. Enter either UL (Unlimited) or SAR (same as report). An entry in this block is necessary if the abstract is to be limited. If blank, the abstract is assumed to be unlimited.

**REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE (SF298)**  
**(Continuation Sheet)**

# 1 Statement of the problem studied

Wireless communication environment constitutes the “first mile” of the information infrastructure. Many, if not most, of the mobile clients of the National and defense Information services are portable, battery powered terminals communicating with the fixed network over wireless links. Providing information services in a mobile environment requires fundamental changes to commonly available client server protocols. Specifically, in this project, the problems studied to make mobility a first class object in the information infrastructure were:

- Interoperability and adaptability to different networking environments ranging from a high bandwidth wireless LAN environment to a low bandwidth cellular environment and a hybrid satellite or space based communication links.
- Energy efficient data access for terminals running on selfcontained energy sources such as batteries.
- Support for mobility and disconnection at all levels of the protocol hierarchy
- Support for location dependent services and location dependent routing of information.
- Support for environment aware abstractions that will allow applications to adapt to varying conditions of communication and resource availability.

# 2 Summary of the most important results

## 1. Energy efficient broadcast algorithms

- Data organization and layout methods to provide a totally *self defined* broadcasting channel called the meta channel which provides both directory and data and enables the client to selectively tune to wanted data.
- Adaptive algorithms to decide which data items are to be periodically broadcast and which are to be provided on demand and for which items to provide just cache invalidation reports.
- Data indexing methods using multicast addresses to provide fine grain filtering capability for the clients.

## 2. Indirect protocols

Wireless and mobility have impact on how end-to-end protocols are structured. Existing end-to-end protocols assume that the underlying network infrastructure is to a large extent homogeneous. However, a wireless link is drastically different in terms of reliability and available bandwidth compared to a fixed link. Also, the end point of a connection changes its network attachment point as a mobile host moves in the network. In, we argue that there is a need to make mobility explicit at every level of the protocol stack. To this end, we proposed a concept of indirect connection. Here, an end-to-end connection is split

into two: one connection for the wireless, and the other for the fixed part. With this type of connection, we can tune and optimize the protocol for the wireless link without modifying anything on the fixed network. This approach had significant impact on the design of protocol and services for wireless networks resulting in a client-proxy-server architecture; a commonly used architecture for wireless services. Extensions to this work has resulted in a RPC model for mobile hosts, performance improvements in TCP for wireless (both local area and wide area) networks.

### 3. Environment aware protocols

In mobile computing, factors such as varying resource availability of devices, bandwidth, battery power, results in an environment of changing resource constraints. An application in such as constrained environment must react to these changes so that available resources are properly utilized and at the same time provide reasonable utility to the user of the application. A new architecture that provides feedback about the environment to the components of the application was designed. The utility of this architecture was shown in an environment of a network sub system that adapts to available bandwidth in the network when new hardware components are added or removed that can provide or remove access to wireless networks. Application adaptation is now a very important area of research in mobile computing. Adaptation in components, operating systems, and applications are being proposed by various researchers.

An environment aware API was introduced to cope with the availability of variety of communication devices offers a choice among networks with vastly different characteristics. No single protocol or application can be expected to perform well over all these networks. A mobile host is likely to encounter these different networks and needs to adapt accordingly. The problem of adapting to a changing network environment is further complicated, because changes in network conditions are usually transparent to higher layers of the protocol stack. In order to allow automatic adaptation of applications and protocols, awareness of link conditions and network environment is necessary. The API developed allows for a uniform mechanism based on ICMP messages for providing information about the environment to the protocol stack. Protocols can adapt to changes in the environment, and in particular, allow dynamic fine tuning of some of the well known protocols such as UDP and TCP. Performance measurements demonstrate that our framework imposes little overheads and improves protocol performance under changing network conditions.

### 4. Geographic routing and addressing

GPS based messaging: addressing and routing allows routing and delivery of messages to physical regions rather than individual users. A geographic message (geo-message) is a message addressed to a given geographic area (specified as a polygon, circle etc). Clients which are equipped with the GPS cards can use the GPS position to filter messages which are directed to the area in which the client is currently located. GPS card (or any other method of

determining location such as special beacons) can also be used in order to identify network services located within a particular distance from the mobile client. We have proposed three different routing methods for geo-messages: one based on a new routing software working in the IP level, another using the multicast protocols and finally the third method which extends the concept of domain name services with geographic information. Additionally, we have proposed different methods to assure quality of service in case a client is temporarily disconnected. Finally, we have proposed solutions to integrate geo-casting with multicasting. A mechanism to tunnel geographic messages over existing network to create geo-bone was designed and implemented.

### 3 List of all publications and technical reports:

1. "A Conceptual Framework for Network Adaptation", B. R. Badrinath, Armando Fox, Leonard Kleinrock, Gerald Popek, Peter Reiher, M. Satyanaryanan, In ACM/Baltzer Journal on Mobile Network and applications, special issue on software architectures for mobile applications, Vol. 5, No. 4, December 2000, pp. 221-231.
2. Tomasz Imielinski and Julio C. Navas, "Geographic Addressing, Routing, and Resource Discovery with the Global Positioning System". Communications of the ACM Journal. 1999.
3. "An architecture for exporting environment awareness to mobile computing applications," Girish Welling and B. R. Badrinath, IEEE transactions on Software Engineering, Vol 24., NO 5., May 1998, pp. 391-400.
4. "Data on Air: Organization and access," T. Imielinski, S. Viswanathan, and B. R. Badrinath, IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, Vol. 9, No. 3, May/June 1997, pp. 353-372.
5. "Implementation and performance evaluation of indirect TCP", Ajay Bakre, and B. R. Badrinath, IEEE transactions on Computers, Vol. 46, Number 3, March 1997, pp. 260-278.
6. "A framework for delivering multicast messages in networks with mobile hosts," A. Acharya and B. Badrinath, ACM-Baltzer Journal on Mobile Networks and Applications, Volume 1, No. II, 1996, pp. 199-219.
7. "Analysis of a mobile-assisted adaptive location management strategy," ACM-Baltzer Journal on Mobile Networks and Applications, R. Yates, C. Rose, S. Rajagopalan and B. Badrinath, Volume 1, No. II, 1996, pp. 105-122.
8. "Structuring distributed algorithms for mobile networks," B. R. Badrinath, Arup Acharya and T. Imielinski, Computer Communications, April 1996, pp. 309-320.

9. "IPv6 + Mobile-IP + MRSVP = Internet Cellular Phone", B. R. Badrinath and Anup Talukdar, Proceedings of the 5th IFIP International workshop on Quality of Service (IWQoS'97), Columbia University, May 1997, pp. 49-52.
10. "A Framework for Environment Aware Mobile Applications," Girish welling and B. R. Badrinath, In the proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Distributed Computing Systems, Maryland, May 1997, pp 384-391.
11. "Sleepers and Workaholics: Caching Strategies in Mobile Environments", Daniel Barbará and Tomasz Imielinski, VLDB Journal, volume 4, number 4, 1995.
12. "On accommodating mobile hosts in an integrated services packet network," Anup Talukdar, B. R. Badrinath, Arup Acharya, In IEEE Infocom' 97, Kobe, Japan, April, 1997, pp. 1048-1055.
13. "On accommodating mobile hosts in an integrated services packet network," Anup Talukdar, B. R. Badrinath, Arup Acharya, In MoMuC-3, 3rd international workshop on mobile multimedia communications, Princeton, NJ, September, 1996.
14. "To send or not to send: Implementing deferred transmissions in mobile hosts," B. R. Badrinath and Pradeep Sudame, To appear in the proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Distributed Computing Systems, Hong Kong, May 1996, pp. 327-333.
15. "Multiversion reconciliation for mobile databases," Sirish Phatak and B. R. Badrinath, In Proceedings of the ICDE 99, March 1999, pp 582-589.
16. "Conflict resolution and reconciliation in disconnected databases," Sirish Phatak and B. R. Badrinath, In MDDS 99, September 1999, pp. 76-81.
17. "Data partitioning for disconnected client-server databases," Sirish Phatak and B. R. Badrinath, In Proceedings of the MobiDE, August 1999, pp. 102-109.
18. "Data warehousing alternatives for mobile environments," Ion Stanoi, Divy Agrawal, Amr Abbadi, Sirish Phatak and B. R. Badrinath, In Proceedings of the MobiDE, August 1999, pp. 110-115.
19. Julio C. Navas and Tomasz Imielinski, "On reducing the computational cost of Geographic Routing" ACM/IEEE International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking (MobiCom'2000). February 25, 2000.
20. Tomasz Imielinski and Samir Goel, DataSpace - querying and monitoring deeply networked collections in physical space, Proceedings of International Workshop on Data Engineering for Wireless and Mobile Access (MobiDE'99), Seattle, Washington, August 20, 1999.

21. Julio C. Navas and Tomasz Imielinski, "On reducing the computational cost of Geographic Routing" Technical Report (DCS-TR-408). Rutgers University Computer Science. January 24, 2000.
22. Tomasz Imielinski and Samir Goel, DataSpace - querying and monitoring deeply networked collections in physical space, Part-I Concepts and Architecture, Technical Report DCS-TR-381, Department of Computer Science, Rutgers University, October, 1999.
23. Samir Goel and Tomasz Imielinski, DataSpace - querying and monitoring deeply networked collections in physical space, Part-II Protocol Details, Technical Report DCS-TR-400, Department of Computer Science, Rutgers University, October, 1999.
24. "Gathercast: An Efficient Mechanism for Multi-point to Point Aggregation in IP Networks", B. R. Badrinath and Pradeep Sudame, Technical Report DCS-TR-362, Rutgers University, Department of Computer Science, July 1998.
25. "Rate Adaptation Schemes in Networks with Mobile Hosts", Anup K. Talukdar, B. R. Badrinath, Arup Acharya, Technical Report DCS-TR-357, Department of Computer Science, Rutgers University, May 1998.
26. "An Architecture for Mobile Databases", B. R. Badrinath and S. H. Phatak, Technical Report DCS-TR-351, Department of Computer Science, Rutgers University, February 1998.
27. "MRSVP: A Reservation Protocol for an Integrated Services Packet Network with Mobile Hosts", Anup K. Talukdar, B. R. Badrinath, Arup Acharya, Technical Report DCS-TR-337, Department of Computer Science, Rutgers University, August 1997.
28. "Providing Support for Protocol Adaptation in Wireless Networks", Pradeep Sudame, B.R. Badrinath, Technical Report DCS-TR-333, Department of Computer Science Rutgers University, July 1997.
29. "Database Server Organization for Handling Mobile Clients", B. R. Badrinath and S. H. Phatak, Technical Report DCS-TR-324, Department of Computer Science, Rutgers University, April 1997.
30. "Admission Control Algorithms and Reservation Protocol for Supporting Mobile Hosts in an Integrated Services Packet Network", Anup K. Talukdar, B. R. Badrinath, Arup Acharya, Technical Report LCSR-TR-282, Department of Computer Science, Rutgers University, December 1996.
31. "Exporting Environment Awareness to Mobile Applications", Girish Welling and B. R. Badrinath, Technical Report LCS-TR-270, Department of Computer Science, Rutgers University, July 1996.



## 4 SCIENTIFIC PERSONNEL supported

### 4.1 Faculty

1. Tomasz Imielinski (PI)
2. B. R. Badrinath (co-PI)

### 4.2 Students

1. S. Vishwanathan (PhD Student)
2. Ajay Bakre (PhD student)
3. Pradeep Sudame (PhD Student)
4. Julio C. Navas (PhD Student)
5. Samir Goel (PhD Student)
6. Pedrum Mohageri (undergraduate student)

## 5 Report of INVENTIONS

1. Energy efficient indexing for broadcast protocols in wireless networks
2. Metachannel directory for broadcast data in wireless low power environments
3. Indirect TCP protocol for improving performance of TCP over wireless links.
4. Environment aware API for application and protocol adaptation.
5. Polygon approximation techniques for geographic routing
6. Protocol encapsulation for geocasting (GeoOSPF, GeoRIP, GeoBP) The Geocast protocols that modified existing IP protocols are the following: GeoOSPF, GeoRIP, and GeoBP. These protocols were chosen because they offered a contrast in the amount of information that had to be gathered and stored in a routing database before packet forwarding could be performed. The amount of information gathered before packet forwarding can commence in all of these protocols has a direct influence on the number of prune messages that subsequently have to be sent and processed in order to clean-up the routing tree that results from forwarding the first geocast message.
7. GPS-Multicast protocol (GPS-Multicast over PIM-Sparse and DVMRP) These geocast protocols leverage existing protocols by running over them are the following: GPS-Multicast over PIM-Sparse and GPS-Multicast over DVMRP. PIM- sparse and DVMRP were chosen because they offered a contrast between control-driven and data-driven versions of multicast for the geographic routing protocol called GPS- Multicast to run over.